Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/784,917	TANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>120</u>		
(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Matthew E. Mulkeen (Reg. No. 44,250).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 September 2006</u>	Time: <u>11:45am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 18 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
La PStalta		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sign	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner left a voice mail message for Mr. Mulkeen on Wednesday, September 13, 2006@11:45am requesting permission to: (1) cancel the text on page 61, lines 26-27 of the instant specification since a wrong line number was indicated in the after final amendment of September 6, 2006; and (2) put in the correct structure of the formula in claim 18 since the ring nitrogen is missing in the pyrrole ring. On Thursday, September 14, 2006@11:35am, Mr. Mulkeen called the Examiner to give her permission to make the change to the specification and the change to claim 18.

2/14/cm